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Much to Agree With!

• Paper carefully written, full of good ideas and nuance
• In fact, I agree with most everything that’s written
  • A few disagreements in the small
• Below, I essentially add another layer “in the large”
  • Mostly interpretation of things beyond scope of paper
  • Some new empirical evidence
    • Same sample of countries, time
Apples to Apples: Regimes & Time

• Are countries comparable over the span of time?
• Reinhart-Rogoff exchange rate regime classification:
  • 9 countries remain in same regime 1997-2015
  • But 17 experience at least 3 regimes over same time
  • Potential source of worry?
Apples to Apples: Financial Openness & Time

• Are countries comparable in terms of capital controls over time?

• Chinn-Ito classification 1997-2015:
  • 11 countries increased financial openness significantly
  • 5 decreased
  • Again, potential source of worry?

• And countries differ at a point in time ...
Apples to Apples: Financial Openness & Space

Histogram of Financial Openness across Countries

Chinn-Ito Financial Openness, 2014
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Just Whining?

• My view: don’t do this sort of thing until you’re tenured and chaired at Harvard!

• But there is a value for Harvard professors to do precisely this sort of thing to move profession forward!
Switching Gears
Good News: for Effective Exchange Rates, Nominal ≈ Real Volatility, as asserted

Volatility of Nominal and Real Effective Exchange Rates
Standard Deviations of % growth, 1997M1-2015M12

Volatility REER vs. Volatility NEER
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OK News: Nominal Effective and Bilateral Exchange Rate Volatility

Nominal Effective vs Bilateral (US$) Exchange Rates
Standard Deviations of % growth, 1997M1-2015M12
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What about Exchange Rates vs. Reserves?

Paper implies (without stating) a tradeoff exists; countries take a shock either via reserves or in the exchange rate. Does it?
• “Once again, Singapore intervened in the foreign exchange market, while the Philippines took the negative shock more in the form of a depreciation of its currency.”

• But:
  • Dollar depreciation similar for Philippines and Singapore
  • Reserve changes not
More Generally: Consider Volatility of Reserves and log Nominal Exchange Rate

Is There a Volatility Tradeoff?
Standard Deviations of % growth, 1997M1-2015M12
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Is There a Volatility Tradeoff?
Standard Deviations of % growth, 1997M1-2015M12

Volatility (International Reserves/Monetary Base)

Volatility NEER
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Reserves over Monetary Base
How does the Exchange Rate Regime Show up in the Data?
Fixes, Floats, and Systematic Managed Floats

Systematic Managed Floating or ... Sloppy Center?
Standard Deviations of % growth, 1997M1-2015M12
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Tangent: the Ongoing Mystery of Reserve Volatility

Clean Floats have volatile reserves!

PS: Check out the Scale of the Ordinate/y-axis

Standard Deviations of % growth, 1997M1-2015M12
Why are We Discussing This?

• Unclear to me that there is “Systematic Managed Floating”
• But even if there is, should we care?
Defining the Problem Down

• Paper examines consequences of exchange rate regime for (responses to shocks of) real exchange rates: **Too Easy!**
  • Conventional wisdom since Mussa/Baxter-Stockman: the one thing that varies across exchange rate regimes is the volatility of both the nominal and real exchange rates

• “The only systematic regime-specific pattern in the data is higher volatility of the real exchange rate in regimes of floating rates. By way of contrast, the volatility of, for example, output and consumption does not appear to vary systematically with the exchange rate regime.”
  • Frankel and Rose 1995
More Difficult: Show Regimes *really matter*

• Hard to find manifestation of the exchange rate regime in something real (growth/volatility/inflation …)
  • In passing: a *very* low bar for a “major” monetary policy!

• So even if there is a well-defined intermediate regime, it may have no substantial consequences for inflation, output, or anything we really care about.

• Manifestation of the “Sargent critique“: linking any (macro) price to any price or any quantity to any quantity *MAY* work, but … mixing prices and quantities almost always fails
Exchange Rate Volatility and Real GDP Growth

[Graph showing Exchange Rate Volatility and Growth with various countries plotted on the axes.]
Exchange Rate Volatility and Output Volatility

Volatility that Matters: of Growth and Exchange Rates
Standard Deviations of % Growth Rates
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Exchange Rate Volatility and (CPI) Inflation

Volatility of Exchange Rates and CPI Inflation

CPI Inflation 1997-2015

Volatility NEER
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Exchange Rate Volatility and (GDP) Inflation
Story in a Slide: Case Study of Malaysia

Malaysia between the Peg and the Float
Peg Sept 1998 - July 2005; float thereafter

- Ringgit/US$
- Int Res, US$ bn
- Int Res/Mon Base
- Unemployment Rate
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- Growth Rate

Peg Sept 1998 - July 2005; float thereafter
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Conclusion

• Airplane seats and Exchange Rate Regimes
  • Preferences seem irrelevant given that consequences are low
  • Adding middle seats probably adds ... but how much?

• A Conversation Never Heard

• Flaky: eccentric, unreliable, goofy, nutty, odd, wacky
• Exchange Rate Regimes are Flaky
  • Simply don’t matter for inflation/output/growth/volatility
  • If they did, we would ALL know it
    • ER Regimes are not Communism vs Capitalism
    • ER Regimes are of academic interest
Small Gripes

• Why ignore interest rates? Standard monetary policy is a completely plausible way to affect the exchange rate
  • Ignored here: ONLY reserves matter

• VIX/Commodity prices seem poor instrumental variables; don’t satisfy either requirement for good IV:
  1. Don’t satisfy exclusion restriction \textit{as shown}!
  2. Don’t seem to be strong IVs, again as shown.

• OLS regressions of exchange rate on VIX/Commodity Prices:
  • Quantitatively Important in ANOVA sense, or just statistically significant?

• \textit{Many} free parameters in empirical work on India, Thailand, Turkey

• Are standard errors Newey-West?