"Business Cycle during Structural Change: Arthur Lewis' Theory from a Neoclassical Perspective"

by Kjetil Storesletten, Bo Zhao and Fabrizio Zilibotti

Discussant: Chaoran Chen National University of Singapore

May 28, 2019

Chaoran Chen (NUS)

"Business Cycle during Structural Change" by Storesletten, Zhao, and Zilibotti

What SZZ Do

- Two related literature: structural change and business cycle
- Key stylized facts:
 - structural change is accompanied with agricultural modernization
 - corr(n, y) increases along with structural change
- Build a unified theory that explains these facts
 - Two agricultural technologies: traditional and modern
 - ▶ Traditional agr is key: constant MPL ("surplus labor") \Rightarrow stabilize n
 - Structural change accelerates in booms and slows down in recessions
 - Structural change \Rightarrow traditional agr $\downarrow \Rightarrow n$ more volatile
- The model accounts well for the structural change and business cycle fluctuations of China.

Chaoran Chen (NUS)

Comment 1: the Share of Traditional Vs. Modern Agr

- In the data, one does not directly observe the traditional versus modern agricultural technology (v).
- The paper relies on the following strategy:

$$APG_t = \underbrace{\frac{1 - v_t(1 - \beta)}{\alpha}}_{\text{relative labor income share}} \cdot \underbrace{\frac{1}{1 - \tau}}_{\text{labor mobility barrier}}$$

- Assume \(\tau\) to be constant over time, then the changes in APG imply the change in the composition of two technologies.
- $\blacktriangleright \ \tau$ may well change over time given the specific history of institutions in China.
- We may want to seek for alternative way to characterize the evolution of υ, and then use the residual to determine the evolution of τ.

Chaoran Chen (NUS)

Comment 1: the Share of Traditional Vs. Modern Agr

Micro data from rural household fixed observation points have relevant information that helps determine v_t.

Comment 1: the Share of Traditional Vs. Modern Agr

- Micro data from rural household fixed observation points have relevant information that helps determine v_t.
- For example, we can calculate the percentage of farms without modern machinery inputs as shown below.



Comment 2: Preferences and Productivity Growth

Under CES utility and linear technology, the value-added share is

$$\frac{p_G Y_G}{p_M Y_M} = \frac{\gamma}{1 - \gamma} \left(\frac{Z_G}{Z_M}\right)^{\varepsilon - 1}$$

To get structural transformation right, we need

1. $\varepsilon < 1$ AND Z_G grows faster than Z_M , OR

2. $\varepsilon > 1$ AND Z_G grows slower than Z_M

Most papers choose (1), while this paper chooses (2).

ε > 1 is almost necessary for the main result:

• Recession \Rightarrow labor moves to agr \Rightarrow $Y_G \uparrow$, but needs substitutability

• Then also needs $Z_G(Z_{AM})$ to grow slower than Z_M .

Chaoran Chen (NUS)

Comment 2: Preferences and Productivity Growth

Two cheap ways to reconcile $\varepsilon > 1$ and the main mechanism:

- open economy setup:
 - imports agricultural goods \Rightarrow increases the elasticity of substitution
- national system of purchasing agricultural goods:
 - guaranteed purchasing price \bar{p}_G for hoarding
- Agricultural goods market does not have to clear in every period.

Comment 2: Preferences and Productivity Growth

- Many studies find that Z_{AM} grows faster than Z_M .
- ▶ In fact, in the estimation of this paper, g_M and g_{AM} are similar.



Comment 3: Relative Price

In U.S. as well as in many countries, the relative price of agricultural good declines over time due to strong labor productivity growth in agriculture.



Comment 3: Relative Price

- This relative price is informative on the relative productivity growth (Alvarez-Cuadrado and Poschke 2011).
- How does the relative price evolve over time in China?
- Is the model able to match this trend?
- Especially important as it is related to the previous point.

Chaoran Chen (NUS)

One Minor Point

- Traditional technology uses labor only: no capital/land input
 - Is this necessary or for simplification?
 - Quantitatively relevant as the model overpredicts 1985 agricultural employment share but underpredicts capital share.
 - Traditional technology has some capital input, such as livestocks etc



Chaoran Chen (NUS)

Conceptual Question

- This paper studies the relationship between business cycle and structural change
 - Structural change: decline in agriculture or increase in service

Conceptual Question

- This paper studies the relationship between business cycle and structural change
 - Structural change: decline in agriculture or increase in service
 - ► This paper chooses to talk about agriculture ↓ and business cycle

Conceptual Question

- This paper studies the relationship between business cycle and structural change
 - Structural change: decline in agriculture or increase in service
 - This paper chooses to talk about agriculture \downarrow and business cycle
 - ▶ How about service ↑ and business cycle?