Parallel Digital Currencies and Sticky Prices

Harald Uhlig Taojun Xie

University of Chicago National University of Singapore

ABFER Annual Conference May 24th, 2021

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆目▶ ◆目▶ 目 のへで

1

Motivation and Research Question

Increasing varieties of privately issued digital currencies:

- Bitcoin
- Ethereum
- ▶ ...
- Question: What happens, when firms price in these currencies, rather than the official currency?
- Role of money:
 - 1. Unit of account. Here: currency of pricing.
 - 2. Medium of exchange.
 - 3. Store of value.

> Approach: an NK model with multiple currencies.

Results Overview

- Exchange rate shocks arise without other sources of uncertainties
- ▶ Relative price between sectors becomes state variable. Rich sectoral dynamics.
- ► In response to a **dollar depreciation**:
 - Considerable persistent reallocation between sectors. Large decline in non-dollar sector. Small and temporary aggregate recession.
 - Recession is persistent, if mon pol only reacts to dollar inflation.
 - Increased flexibility of prices in non-dollar sector mitigates output drop in that sector and sectoral reallocation. None at flexible limit.
 - Larger non-dollar sector share induces deeper overall recession, higher inflation, larger gain to dollar sector.
- Endogenous currency choice: less flexible sector increases substantially. Slight, persistent aggregate boom, inflation drop.

Literature

- Gali (2015, June). Monetary Policy, Inflation, and the Business Cycle: An Introduction to the New Keynesian Framework and Its Applications - Second Edition. Princeton University Press.
- Cienfuegos, N. C. (2019, January). The Importance of Production Networks and Sectoral Heterogeneity for Monetary Policy. Technical report. University of Chicago.
- Schilling, L. and H. Uhlig (2019, October). Some simple bitcoin economics. Journal of Monetary Economics 106, 16-26.
- Gopinath, G., O. Itskhoki, and R. Rigobon (2010, March). Currency Choice and Exchange Rate Pass-Through. American Economic Review 100 (1), 304-336.

Model – Currencies and Prices

- > J currencies in total, each with money supply $M_{j,t}$
 - ▶ j = 1: fiat currency, *dollar*, $j \neq 1$ parallel currency, *bitcoin*
 - $\mathcal{E}_{j,t}$: price of currency j in dollar
 - $\blacktriangleright \mathcal{E}_{1,t} = 1$
 - $\frac{\mathcal{E}_{j,t}}{\mathcal{E}_{j',t}}$: price of currency *j* in currency *j'*

Firms in sector j set prices in currency j, but accept payments in all currencies

- \triangleright $V_{j,t}$: set of firms in sector j
- $v_{j,t}$: measure of sector j
- sectoral price index $P_{j,t} = \left[\frac{1}{v_{j,t}}\int_{V_{j,t}}P_{j,t}(i)^{1-\epsilon}di\right]^{\frac{1}{1-\epsilon}}$
- general price index $P_t = \left[\sum_{j=1}^J v_{j,t} (\mathcal{E}_{j,t} P_{j,t})^{1-\epsilon}\right]^{\frac{1}{1-\epsilon}}$
- general price inflation $\Pi_t = \frac{P_t}{P_{t-1}}$
- sectoral relative price $\hat{P}_{j,t} = \frac{\hat{\mathcal{E}}_{j,t}P_{j,t}}{P_t}$

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆三 > ◆三 > ● ● ● ●

Pricing Sectors

Firm i pricing currency:



Households

Lifetime utility

$$E_0\sum_{t=0}^{\infty}\beta^t u(C_t,L_t,N_t)$$

- Consumption bundle $C_t = \left[\int C_t(i)^{1-\frac{1}{\epsilon}} di\right]^{\frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon-1}}$
- Liquidity $L_t = \sum_{j=1}^J L_{j,t}$, where $L_{j,t} = \frac{\mathcal{E}_{j,t}M_{j,t}}{P_t}$
- \blacktriangleright Labour supply N_t
- Budget constraint

$$C_{t} + \frac{B_{t}}{P_{t}} + \sum_{j=1}^{J} L_{j,t} = \frac{\exp(i_{t-1})}{\Pi_{t}} \frac{B_{t-1}}{P_{t-1}} + \sum_{j=1}^{J} \frac{L_{j,t-1}}{\Pi_{t}} \frac{\mathcal{E}_{j,t}}{\mathcal{E}_{j,t-1}} + W_{t}N_{t} + \Gamma_{t}$$

Firms

- Production function $Y_t(i) = A_t N_t(i)^{1-\alpha}$
- ▶ $1 \theta_j$ fraction of firms reset prices in sector j
- Profit maximization problem

$$\max_{P_{j,t}^*} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \theta_j^{\ell} E_t \left[Q_{t,t+\ell} \left[\frac{\mathcal{E}_{j,t+\ell} P_{j,t}^*}{P_{t+k}} Y_{t+\ell}(i) - \Psi_{t+\ell} \left(Y_{t+\ell}(i) \right) \right] \right]$$

subject to demand function $Y_{t+\ell}(i) = \left(\frac{\mathcal{E}_{j,t+\ell}P_{j,t}^*}{P_{t+\ell}}\right)^{-\epsilon} Y_{t+\ell}$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆目▶ ◆目▶ 目 のへで

Linearised Model

Proposition 1: The nominal exchange rate between any pair of parallel currencies *j* and *j'* follows a random-walk process:

$$e_{j,t} - e_{j',t} = E_t (e_{j,t+1} - e_{j',t+1})$$

Sectoral NKPC:

$$\pi_{j,t} = \beta E_t \pi_{j,t+1} + \kappa_j \tilde{y}_t - \lambda_j \hat{p}_{j,t}$$

where κ_j and λ_j depend on θ_j , and

$$\hat{p}_{j,t} = \hat{p}_{j,t-1} + \pi_{j,t} + \Delta e_{j,t} - \pi_t$$
(1)

Dynamic IS equation:

$$\tilde{y}_t = E_t \tilde{y}_{t+1} - \frac{1}{\sigma} \left[\hat{i}_t - E_t \pi_{t+1} - \hat{r}_t^n \right]$$

Key Equations in the NK Framework

<u>Result:</u> Relative price between sectors becomes state variable. Rich sectoral dynamics.

▶ With J parallel currencies, the following (2J + 2)-equation system summarises dynamics in the economy

$$\tilde{y}_t = \mathcal{E}_t \left[\tilde{y}_{t+1} \right] - \sigma^{-1} \left(\hat{i}_t - \upsilon' \, \mathcal{E}_t \left[\pi_{t+1} \right] - r_t^n \right) \tag{2}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\pi}_{t} = \beta \, \boldsymbol{E}_{t} \left[\boldsymbol{\pi}_{t+1} \right] + \boldsymbol{\kappa} \, \tilde{\boldsymbol{y}}_{t} - \boldsymbol{\lambda} \circ \hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}_{t} \tag{3}$$

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}_{t} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}_{t-1} + \left(\boldsymbol{\mathsf{I}} - \boldsymbol{\mathsf{1}}\,\boldsymbol{\upsilon}'\right)\left(\boldsymbol{\pi}_{t} + \Delta\boldsymbol{\boldsymbol{e}}_{t}\right) \tag{4}$$

$$\hat{j}_t = \phi_\pi \, \upsilon' \boldsymbol{\pi}_t + \phi_y \, \tilde{y}_t \tag{5}$$

where \circ is an operator for element-wise multiplication.

Generalised aggregate inflation:

$$\pi_{t} = \beta E_{t} [\pi_{t+1}] + \boldsymbol{\upsilon}' \boldsymbol{\kappa} \, \tilde{\boldsymbol{y}}_{t} - \boldsymbol{\upsilon}' (\boldsymbol{\lambda} \circ \hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}_{t}) + \boldsymbol{\upsilon}' \Delta \boldsymbol{e}_{t}$$

Baseline Cases

Proposition 2 (homogeneous rigidity): Between any two sectors j and j' with homogeneous price rigidity θ,

- 1. the optimal prices in both sectors are equivalent, $p_{i,t}^* + e_{j,t} = p_{i',t}^* + e_{j',t}$;
- 2. the bilateral relative price is an autoregressive process,

 $s_{jj',t} = heta \ (s_{jj',t-1} + \Delta e_{j,t} - \Delta e_{j',t});$

- 3. the inflation differential is linear in bilateral relative price, $\pi_{j,t} \pi_{j',t} = -\frac{1-\theta}{\theta} s_{jj',t}$;
- 4. the output-gap differential is linear in bilateral relative price, $\tilde{y}_{j,t} \tilde{y}_{j',t} = -\epsilon s_{jj',t}$.
- Proposition 3: The new Keynesian Philips curve for aggregate inflation is independent of the relative price dynamics if price rigidity is homogeneous across all currency sectors:

$$\pi_t = \beta E_t [\pi_{t+1}] + \kappa \tilde{y}_t + \upsilon' \Delta \boldsymbol{e}_t$$

Proposition 4 (single flexible sector): An exchange-rate shock to any non-dollar currency j does not spillover to the other currency sectors if prices are perfectly flexible in sector j.

Monetary Policy

What should monetary policy target?

- Aggregate inflation? Or dollar inflation only?
- Aggregate output gap? Or dollar sector output gap only?

Thus:

- Two sector: dollar vs non-dollar; dollar depreciation shock
- Size of non-dollar sector v = 0.2

Taylor rules

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{i}_t &= \phi_\pi \, \pi_t + \phi_y \, \tilde{y}_t & \text{(AIAO)} \\ \hat{i}_t &= \phi_\pi \, \pi_{1,t} + \phi_y \, \tilde{y}_t & \text{(DIAO)} \\ \hat{i}_t &= \phi_\pi \, \pi_{1,t} + \phi_y \, \tilde{y}_{1,t} & \text{(DIDO)} \end{aligned}$$

Parameterization

Table: Parameter values in benchmark model.

Parameter	Value	Description
α	0.250	Share of labour input in production function
σ	1.000	Coefficient of risk aversion
arphi	5.000	Inverse Frisch elasticity of labour supply
eta	0.990	Discount factor
θ_1	0.750	Probability of not adjusting prices in dollar sector
θ_2	0.750	Probability of not adjusting prices in non-dollar sector
ϵ	9.000	Elasticity of substitution among consumption goods
ϕ_{π}	1.500	Interest-rate reaction to inflation
ϕ_y	0.125	Interest-rate reaction to output gap
\dot{v}	0.200	Size of non-dollar sector
$\sigma_{\Delta e}$	0.250	Standard deviation of exchange-rate shock

IRFs to dollar depreciation: Baseline Taylor Rule "AIAO"



Baseline policy:

$$\hat{i}_t = \phi_\pi \, \pi_t + \phi_y \, \tilde{y}_t$$
 (AIAO)

Result:

Considerable persistent reallocation between sectors. Large decline in non-dollar sector. Small and temporary aggregate recession.

IRFs to dollar depreciation: Alternative Taylor Rules "DIAO" and "DIDO"



Alternative policies:

$$\hat{i}_t = \phi_\pi \, \pi_{1,t} + \phi_y \, \tilde{y}_t \qquad \text{(DIAO)} \\ \hat{i}_t = \phi_\pi \, \pi_{1,t} + \phi_y \, \tilde{y}_{1,t} \qquad \text{(DIDO)}$$

Result:

Persistent aggregate recession.

Heterogeneous rigidity



IRFs to dollar depreciation.

- Prices more flexible in non-dollar sector:
 - $\theta_1 = 0.75, \, \theta_2 \in \{0, 0.5, 0.75\}.$

Result:

- Flexibility of prices in non-dollar sector mitigates output drop in that sector and sectoral reallocation. None at flexible limit.
- Subtle: aggregate output persistence.

Volatility



Different sector shares

IRFs to dollar depreciation. $\theta_1 = 0.75$; $\theta_2 = 0.5$.



Result:

Larger non-dollar sector share induces deeper overall recession, higher inflation, larger gain to dollar sector.

Currency Choice

- Firms choose pricing currencies when given opportunity to re-price
- We use a discrete choice model. Let $U_{i,j,t}$ denote the utility of a producer, who resets price in period t.

$$\log\left(\mathcal{U}_{i,j,t}\right) = \log\left(\mathcal{V}_{j,t,t}\right) + \varepsilon_{i,j,t} \tag{6}$$

We assume ε_{j,t} to be independent across i, j and t as well as any other shocks and to be distributed according to a type I extreme value distribution

$$F(\varepsilon_{i,j,t}) = \exp\left(-\gamma_j \exp(-\varepsilon_{i,j,t})\right) \tag{7}$$

▶ $V_{j,s,t}$ is the continuation value to the firm when the price has been reset at $s \le t$, using currency j to do so

$$\mathcal{V}_{j,s,t} = \Xi \left(p_{s,j}^* + e_{j,t} \,|\, x_t \right) + \theta_j \mathbb{E}_t \left[Q_{t,t+1} \mathcal{V}_{j,s,t+1} \right] \tag{8}$$

where $\Xi(p | x_t)$ is the profit function given the state of the economy x_t .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ○□ のへで

Currency Choice

A firm chooses to price in currency j if the utility from the optimised value is at least as high as all the other alternatives:

$$\mathcal{U}_{j,t} \ge \mathcal{U}_{j',t} \ \forall j' = 0, ..., K.$$
(9)

which holds when

$$\varepsilon_{j',t} \leq \log\left(\frac{\mathcal{V}_{j,t,t}}{\mathcal{V}_{j',t,t}}\right) + \varepsilon_{j,t} \; \forall j' = 1, ..., J.$$
 (10)

The joint probability from J currencies gives the probability of a firm pricing in currency j:

$$\Pr_{j,t} = \frac{\gamma_j \, \mathcal{V}_{j,t,t}}{\sum_{j'=1}^J \, \gamma_{j'} \, \mathcal{V}_{j',t,t}} \tag{11}$$

• The law of motion for $v_{j,t}$ is:

$$v_{j,t} = \theta_j v_{j,t-1} + \Pr_{j,t} \sum_{j'=1}^{K} (1 - \theta_{j'}) v_{j',t-1}$$
 (12)

Currency choice: transition dynamics



"MIT shock": dollar sector ("dashed") or non-dollar sector ("solid") becomes more flexible.

Result:

Less flexible sector increases. Slight, persistent boom, inflation drop.

Conclusion

- Increasing varieties of privately issued digital currencies.
- Question: What happens, when firms price in these currencies, rather than the official currency?
- > Approach: an NK model with multiple currencies.
- Results: Relative price between sectors becomes state variable. Rich sectoral dynamics. In response to a dollar depreciation:
 - Considerable persistent reallocation between sectors. Large decline in non-dollar sector. Small, temporary aggregate recession with AIAO, persist. w. DIAO, DIDO.
 - Increased flexibility of prices in non-dollar sector mitigates output drop in that sector and sectoral reallocation. None at flexible limit.
 - Larger non-dollar sector share induces deeper overall recession, higher inflation, larger gain to dollar sector.

Endogenous currency choice: less flexible sector increases substantially. Slight, persistent aggregate boom, inflation drop.