# "The Coming Battle of Digital Currencies" by William Cong and Simon Mayer

Pierpaolo Benigno (University of Bern and EIEF)

**ABFER** 

• This is a relevant work on an important topic.

- This is a relevant work on an important topic.
- The last decade has witnessed the proliferation of private digital currencies.

- This is a relevant work on an important topic.
- The last decade has witnessed the proliferation of private digital currencies.
- Digital currency allows for digital payment maintaining some degree of anonymity.

- This is a relevant work on an important topic.
- The last decade has witnessed the proliferation of private digital currencies.
- Digital currency allows for digital payment maintaining some degree of anonymity.
- They offer therefore some non-pecuniary benefits, which can justify a positive value.

- This is a relevant work on an important topic.
- The last decade has witnessed the proliferation of private digital currencies.
- Digital currency allows for digital payment maintaining some degree of anonymity.
- They offer therefore some non-pecuniary benefits, which can justify a positive value.
- The appearance of digital private currency represents an important challenge to government currency:

- This is a relevant work on an important topic.
- The last decade has witnessed the proliferation of private digital currencies.
- Digital currency allows for digital payment maintaining some degree of anonymity.
- They offer therefore some non-pecuniary benefits, which can justify a positive value.
- The appearance of digital private currency represents an important challenge to government currency:
  - Government currency can disappear from transactions.

- This is a relevant work on an important topic.
- The last decade has witnessed the proliferation of private digital currencies.
- Digital currency allows for digital payment maintaining some degree of anonymity.
- They offer therefore some non-pecuniary benefits, which can justify a positive value.
- The appearance of digital private currency represents an important challenge to government currency:
  - Government currency can disappear from transactions.
  - Seigniorage can be reduced.

- This is a relevant work on an important topic.
- The last decade has witnessed the proliferation of private digital currencies.
- Digital currency allows for digital payment maintaining some degree of anonymity.
- They offer therefore some non-pecuniary benefits, which can justify a positive value.
- The appearance of digital private currency represents an important challenge to government currency:
  - Government currency can disappear from transactions.
  - Seigniorage can be reduced.
  - Put at risk denomination and settlements of credit securities in government currency

- This is a relevant work on an important topic.
- The last decade has witnessed the proliferation of private digital currencies.
- Digital currency allows for digital payment maintaining some degree of anonymity.
- They offer therefore some non-pecuniary benefits, which can justify a positive value.
- The appearance of digital private currency represents an important challenge to government currency:
  - Government currency can disappear from transactions.
  - Seigniorage can be reduced.
  - Put at risk denomination and settlements of credit securities in government currency
  - Government currency can become worthless.

- This is a relevant work on an important topic.
- The last decade has witnessed the proliferation of private digital currencies.
- Digital currency allows for digital payment maintaining some degree of anonymity.
- They offer therefore some non-pecuniary benefits, which can justify a positive value.
- The appearance of digital private currency represents an important challenge to government currency:
  - Government currency can disappear from transactions.
  - Seigniorage can be reduced.
  - Put at risk denomination and settlements of credit securities in government currency
  - Government currency can become worthless.
- Central banks are reacting by introducing their own digital currencies.

# **Model and Findings**

This is model of competition between government currencies and cryptocurrencies. Findings:

Cryptocurrencies hurt stronger fiat currencies

# **Model and Findings**

This is model of competition between government currencies and cryptocurrencies. Findings:

- Cryptocurrencies hurt stronger fiat currencies
- ...but benefit weaker currencies by reducing competition from stronger one.

# **Model and Findings**

This is model of competition between government currencies and cryptocurrencies. Findings:

- Cryptocurrencies hurt stronger fiat currencies
- ...but benefit weaker currencies by reducing competition from stronger one.
- Countries with stronger but not dominant currencies have more incentive to strategically implement CBDCs in response to competition of other crypto or government currency.

• At time t, one unit of good can be invested in two moneys

$$\frac{M_t}{P_t} + \frac{M_t^*}{P_t^*} = 1$$

At time t, one unit of good can be invested in two moneys

$$\frac{M_t}{P_t} + \frac{M_t^*}{P_t^*} = 1$$

At time t+1, money can buy goods and provide liquidity services

$$C_{t+1} + v\left(rac{M_t}{P_t}
ight) + v^*\left(rac{M_t^*}{P_t^*}
ight)$$
  $C_{t+1} = rac{M_t}{P_{t+1}} + rac{M_t^*}{P_{t+1}^*}$ 

• Optimal demand of  $M_t$  and  $M_t^*$  is

$$\frac{\lambda_t}{P_t} = \frac{1}{P_t} v_m \left(\frac{M_t}{P_t}\right) + \frac{1}{P_{t+1}}$$
$$\frac{\lambda_t}{P_t^*} = \frac{1}{P_t^*} v_m^* \left(\frac{M_t^*}{P_t^*}\right) + \frac{1}{P_{t+1}^*}$$

• Optimal demand of  $M_t$  and  $M_t^*$  is

$$\frac{\lambda_t}{P_t} = \frac{1}{P_t} v_m \left(\frac{M_t}{P_t}\right) + \frac{1}{P_{t+1}}$$

$$\frac{\lambda_t}{P_t^*} = \frac{1}{P_t^*} v_m^* \left(\frac{M_t^*}{P_t^*}\right) + \frac{1}{P_{t+1}^*}$$

• Note that  $v_m(0) = \infty$  does not imply that money is essential since it could be that

$$\lim_{P_t\to\infty}\frac{1}{P_t}v_m\left(\frac{M_t}{P_t}\right)=0$$

• Optimal demand of  $M_t$  and  $M_t^*$  is

$$\frac{\lambda_t}{P_t} = \frac{1}{P_t} v_m \left(\frac{M_t}{P_t}\right) + \frac{1}{P_{t+1}}$$

$$\frac{\lambda_t}{P_t^*} = \frac{1}{P_t^*} v_m^* \left(\frac{M_t^*}{P_t^*}\right) + \frac{1}{P_{t+1}^*}$$

• Note that  $v_m(0) = \infty$  does not imply that money is essential since it could be that

$$\lim_{P_t\to\infty}\frac{1}{P_t}v_m\left(\frac{M_t}{P_t}\right)=0$$

• When  $v_m = v_{m^*} = 0$  then the two currencies compete if inflation rates are the same. When inflation is higher in country H domestic money is not used.

• Consider the case  $v_{m^*} = 0$  then

$$v_m\left(\frac{M_t}{P_t}\right) + \frac{P_t}{P_{t+1}} = \frac{P_t^*}{P_{t+1}^*}$$

therefore

$$\frac{P_{t}^*}{P_{t+1}^*} - \frac{P_t}{P_{t+1}} = v_m \left(\frac{M_t}{P_t}\right) > 0$$

implying that  $P_{t+1}^*/P_t^* < P_{t+1}/P_t$ .

• Consider the case  $v_{m^*} = 0$  then

$$v_m\left(\frac{M_t}{P_t}\right) + \frac{P_t}{P_{t+1}} = \frac{P_t^*}{P_{t+1}^*}$$

therefore

$$\frac{P_{t}^*}{P_{t+1}^*} - \frac{P_t}{P_{t+1}} = v_m \left(\frac{M_t}{P_t}\right) > 0$$

implying that  $P_{t+1}^* / P_t^* < P_{t+1} / P_t$ .

 Proposition 1: my own reading would be that if a currency has higher non-pecuniary benefits it can have higher inflation rate. (What is then a strong or a weak currency?)

• Consider the case  $v_{m^*} = 0$  then

$$v_m\left(\frac{M_t}{P_t}\right) + \frac{P_t}{P_{t+1}} = \frac{P_t^*}{P_{t+1}^*}$$

therefore

$$\frac{P_t^*}{P_{t+1}^*} - \frac{P_t}{P_{t+1}} = v_m \left(\frac{M_t}{P_t}\right) > 0$$

implying that  $P_{t+1}^* / P_t^* < P_{t+1} / P_t$ .

- Proposition 1: my own reading would be that if a currency has higher non-pecuniary benefits it can have higher inflation rate. (What is then a strong or a weak currency?)
- Why would denomination of trade in one currency versus the other change the above relation?

• Consider the case  $v_{m^*} = 0$  then

$$v_m\left(\frac{M_t}{P_t}\right) + \frac{P_t}{P_{t+1}} = \frac{P_t^*}{P_{t+1}^*}$$

therefore

$$\frac{P_t^*}{P_{t+1}^*} - \frac{P_t}{P_{t+1}} = v_m \left(\frac{M_t}{P_t}\right) > 0$$

implying that  $P_{t+1}^* / P_t^* < P_{t+1} / P_t$ .

- Proposition 1: my own reading would be that if a currency has higher non-pecuniary benefits it can have higher inflation rate. (What is then a strong or a weak currency?)
- Why would denomination of trade in one currency versus the other change the above relation?
- The paper introduces the parameters  $\pi$  and  $\pi^*$  to capture the linkage between fiscal strength and currency? What is fiscal strength?

# How is the indeterminacy of the exchange rate solved?

• Indeterminacy of prices:

$$\frac{M_t}{P_t} + \frac{M_t^*}{P_t^*} = 1$$

Specifying money-supply rules does not pin down  $P_t$  or  $P_t^*$ .

# How is the indeterminacy of the exchange rate solved?

• Indeterminacy of prices:

$$\frac{M_t}{P_t} + \frac{M_t^*}{P_t^*} = 1$$

Specifying money-supply rules does not pin down  $P_t$  or  $P_t^*$ .

Therefore real money balances are also not determined

$$\frac{M_t}{P_t}$$
?  $\frac{M_t^*}{P_t^*}$ ?

• At time t, one unit of good can be invested in three moneys

$$\frac{M_t}{P_t} + \frac{M_t^*}{P_t^*} + \frac{M_t^C}{P_t^C} = 1$$

At time t, one unit of good can be invested in three moneys

$$\frac{M_t}{P_t} + \frac{M_t^*}{P_t^*} + \frac{M_t^C}{P_t^C} = 1$$

At time t+1, money can buy goods and provide liquidity services

$$C_{t+1} + v\left(rac{M_t}{P_t}
ight) + v^*\left(rac{M_t^*}{P_t^*}
ight) + v^C\left(rac{M_t^C}{P_t^C}
ight)$$
  $C_{t+1} = rac{M_t}{P_{t+1}} + rac{M_t^*}{P_{t+1}^*} + rac{M_t^C}{P_{t+1}^C}$ 

• Optimal demand of  $M_t$ ,  $M_t^*$ ,  $M_t^C$  is

$$\frac{\lambda_t}{P_t} = \frac{1}{P_t} v_m \left(\frac{M_t}{P_t}\right) + \frac{1}{P_{t+1}}$$

$$\frac{\lambda_t}{P_t^*} = \frac{1}{P_t^*} v_m^* \left(\frac{M_t^*}{P_t^*}\right) + \frac{1}{P_{t+1}^*}$$

$$\frac{\lambda_t}{P_t^C} = \frac{1}{P_t^C} v_m^C \left(\frac{M_t^C}{P_t^C}\right) + \frac{1}{P_{t+1}^C}$$

ullet Optimal demand of  $M_t, M_t^*, M_t^C$  is

$$\frac{\lambda_t}{P_t} = \frac{1}{P_t} v_m \left(\frac{M_t}{P_t}\right) + \frac{1}{P_{t+1}}$$

$$\frac{\lambda_t}{P_t^*} = \frac{1}{P_t^*} v_m^* \left(\frac{M_t^*}{P_t^*}\right) + \frac{1}{P_{t+1}^*}$$

$$\frac{\lambda_t}{P_t^C} = \frac{1}{P_t^C} v_m^C \left(\frac{M_t^C}{P_t^C}\right) + \frac{1}{P_{t+1}^C}$$

• Assume  $v_m^* = 0$  and that  $P_{t+1}^C = P_t^C$  then the three moneys compete when

$$v_m\left(\frac{M_t}{P_t}\right) + \frac{P_t}{P_{t+1}} = \frac{P_t^*}{P_{t+1}^*} = v_m^C\left(\frac{M_t^C}{P_t^C}\right) + 1$$

$$v_m\left(\frac{M_t}{P_t}\right) + \frac{P_t}{P_{t+1}} = \frac{P_t^*}{P_{t+1}^*} = v_m^C\left(\frac{M_t^C}{P_t^C}\right) + 1$$

$$v_m\left(\frac{M_t}{P_t}\right) + \frac{P_t}{P_{t+1}} = \frac{P_t^*}{P_{t+1}^*} = v_m^C\left(\frac{M_t^C}{P_t^C}\right) + 1$$

• Suppose  $v_m^C\left(\frac{M_t^C}{P_t^C}\right) = Y$ , then if  $Y > v_m\left(\frac{M_t}{P_t}\right)$  and therefore

$$\frac{P_t}{P_{t+1}} - 1 = Y - v_m \left(\frac{M_t}{P_t}\right) > 0$$

home currency will be used only if there is a deflation

•

$$v_m \left( \frac{M_t}{P_t} \right) + \frac{P_t}{P_{t+1}} = \frac{P_t^*}{P_{t+1}^*} = v_m^C \left( \frac{M_t^C}{P_t^C} \right) + 1$$

• Suppose  $v_m^C\left(\frac{M_t^C}{P_t^C}\right) = Y$ , then if  $Y > v_m\left(\frac{M_t}{P_t}\right)$  and therefore

$$\frac{P_t}{P_{t+1}} - 1 = Y - v_m \left(\frac{M_t}{P_t}\right) > 0$$

home currency will be used only if there is a deflation

• And foreign currency should be even more deflationary!

•

$$v_m\left(\frac{M_t}{P_t}\right) + \frac{P_t}{P_{t+1}} = \frac{P_t^*}{P_{t+1}^*} = v_m^C\left(\frac{M_t^C}{P_t^C}\right) + 1$$

• Suppose  $v_m^C\left(\frac{M_t^C}{P_t^C}\right) = Y$ , then if  $Y > v_m\left(\frac{M_t}{P_t}\right)$  and therefore

$$\frac{P_t}{P_{t+1}} - 1 = Y - v_m \left(\frac{M_t}{P_t}\right) > 0$$

home currency will be used only if there is a deflation

- And foreign currency should be even more deflationary!
- Is this harmful for currencies? What is the meaning of "harmful" here?

 Key and interesting idea: government currency provides more utility benefits.

- Key and interesting idea: government currency provides more utility benefits.
- Produces a shift in  $v_m\left(\frac{M_t}{P_t}\right)$  to  $v_m^{'}\left(\frac{M_t^{'}}{P_t^{'}}\right)$  and then

$$\frac{P'_t}{P'_{t+1}} - 1 = Y - v_m \left(\frac{M'_t}{P'_t}\right)$$

- Key and interesting idea: government currency provides more utility benefits.
- Produces a shift in  $v_m\left(\frac{M_t}{P_t}\right)$  to  $v_m^{'}\left(\frac{M_t^{'}}{P_t^{'}}\right)$  and then

$$\frac{P'_t}{P'_{t+1}} - 1 = Y - v_m \left(\frac{M'_t}{P'_t}\right)$$

 It could imply that deflation in home currency can be less when competing with crypto.

- Key and interesting idea: government currency provides more utility benefits.
- Produces a shift in  $v_m\left(\frac{M_t}{P_t}\right)$  to  $v_m^{'}\left(\frac{M_t^{'}}{P_t^{'}}\right)$  and then

$$\frac{P'_t}{P'_{t+1}} - 1 = Y - v_m \left(\frac{M'_t}{P'_t}\right)$$

- It could imply that deflation in home currency can be less when competing with crypto.
- But no change for the weaker currency.

- Key and interesting idea: government currency provides more utility benefits.
- Produces a shift in  $v_m\left(\frac{M_t}{P_t}\right)$  to  $v_m^{'}\left(\frac{M_t^{'}}{P_t^{'}}\right)$  and then

$$\frac{P'_t}{P'_{t+1}} - 1 = Y - v_m \left(\frac{M'_t}{P'_t}\right)$$

- It could imply that deflation in home currency can be less when competing with crypto.
- But no change for the weaker currency.
- However, indeterminacy of exchange rate can play an important role here because real money balances can shift higher or up depending on the exchange rate between currencies.

- Key and interesting idea: government currency provides more utility benefits.
- Produces a shift in  $v_m\left(\frac{M_t}{P_t}\right)$  to  $v_m^{'}\left(\frac{M_t^{'}}{P_t^{'}}\right)$  and then

$$\frac{P'_t}{P'_{t+1}} - 1 = Y - v_m \left(\frac{M'_t}{P'_t}\right)$$

- It could imply that deflation in home currency can be less when competing with crypto.
- But no change for the weaker currency.
- However, indeterminacy of exchange rate can play an important role here because real money balances can shift higher or up depending on the exchange rate between currencies.

•