



**Next Webinar: 17 March 2022, Thursday**

- 10:00 am – 11:10 am, Thursday (Beijing-Singapore Time)
- 10:00 pm – 11:10 pm, Wednesday (US Time / Eastern Time)\*
- 9:00 pm – 10:10 pm, Wednesday (Central Time)\*
- 8:00 pm – 9:10 pm, Wednesday (Mountain Time)\*
- 7:00 pm – 8:10 pm, Wednesday (Pacific Time)\*

Financial market development goes hand-in-hand with economic development. Asian economies and corporations have grown by leaps and bounds in capability, size, and sophistication. Asia's capital markets have accordingly broadened and deepened. The level of development, however, is very uneven across the region. (We use the term financial markets expansively, intending to cover banking, insurance, other intermediation, securities, equity markets, exchange exchanges, e-commerce, fintech, etc.) Policymakers across the region can avoid mistakes and move faster towards best practices if research better clarifies what has worked and what has caused problems elsewhere.

The progress of China's capital markets in terms of size, regulations, capability, and functional efficiency have made headlines. China is catching up with the high-income economies, benefiting from lessons learned in the past and elsewhere. China may have surpassed them in some dimensions, notably e-payments systems, where China may have useful lessons for the First World. Yet, China is still a work-in-progress in other ways, and the challenges it faces often are unique. Research into China's capital markets' historical development and current challenges can inform policy decision-makers, practitioners, and educators. Challenges in advanced Asian economies, such as Japan, Korea, Singapore, and Hong Kong also raise important issues of global importance. The Asian Financial Crisis revealed these economies' capital markets to be globally significant, connected, and generating as well as receiving international spillovers. Analysis of their regulatory successes and failures, their rising challenges, demographic and institutional vulnerabilities, and hidden systemic risks can help prepare policymakers, practitioners and educators.

Emerging Asian economies wanting to accelerate capital markets development may benefit from understanding what barriers have prevented some Asian countries from progressing as fast as others. Corporations and investors routinely take advantage of cross-border regulatory gaps, so uneven regulation and development of capital markets across Asia is a policy issue for governments in the entire region and perhaps globally. Finally, expanding financial inclusion is a global goal and remains important in many Asian economies. Research can identify technologies, policies and implementation processes likely to broaden access to banks and capital markets policies and processes to be avoided. Research into these issues can aid policymakers, protect practitioners from policy errors, and help educators better train future leaders. Therefore, the e-seminar series will feature important and promising new research; overview talks by prominent researchers to stimulate further study; and talks by policy-makers to alert researchers to challenges and possible solutions needing greater attention. We hope the seminar series can provide a knowledge-sharing network of benefit to researchers, policymakers, and practitioners in Asia and throughout the world.

The ABFER and the University of Chicago's Becker Friedman Institute China (BFI-China), in collaboration with National University of Singapore Business School (NUS), Shanghai Advanced Institute of Finance (SAIF), The Chinese University of Hong Kong (CUHK) Department of Economics, CUHK-Shenzhen and Tsinghua University PBC School of Finance (Tsinghua PBCSF), hope the seminar series can provide a knowledge-sharing network of benefit to researchers, policymakers, and practitioners in Asia and throughout the world.

## UPCOMING WEBINARS

**17 March 2022, 10:00 am (SGT)**

### **What Gets Measured Gets Managed: Investment and the Cost of Capital**

The authors study the impact of government-led incentive systems by examining a staggered reform in the Chinese state-owned enterprise (SOE) performance evaluation policy. To improve capital allocative efficiency, in 2010, regulators switched from using return on equity (ROE) to economic value added (EVA) when evaluating SOE performance. This EVA policy adopts a one-size-fits-all approach by stipulating a fixed cost of capital for virtually all SOEs, ignoring the potential heterogeneity of firm-specific costs of capital. The authors show that SOEs did respond to the performance evaluation reform by altering their investment decisions, more so when the actual borrowing rate was further away from the stipulated cost of capital. The authors paper provides causal evidence that incentive schemes affect real investment and sheds new light on challenges faced by economic reforms in China.



**Zhguo HE**, Fuji Bank and Heller Professor of Finance and Jeuck Faculty Fellow, Booth School of Business and Senior Fellow, ABFER  
University of Chicago

Co-authors:

**Guanmin LIAO**, Professor, School of Business, Renmin University of China  
**Baolian WANG**, Assistant Professor of Finance, University of Florida



Discussant:

**Kjetil STORESLETTEN**, Richard and Beverly Fink Professor of Economics, Department of Economics, University of Minnesota

*Note: The next webinar will be on 16 June 2022*

### **Session Format**

Each session lasts for 1 hour 10 minutes (25 minutes for the author, 25 minutes for the discussant and 20 minutes for participants' Q&A). Sessions will be recorded and posted on ABFER's web, except in cases where speakers or discussants request us not to.

### **Registration**

Please register [here](#). A unique Zoom webinar link will be sent to you two days before the event. (Notice: Videos and screenshots will be taken during each session for the purpose of marketing, publicity purposes in print, electronic and social media)