

Discussion of The Rise of E-Wallets and Buy-Now-Pay-Later by Bian Lin, William Cong, and Yang Ji & A Helicopter Tour of Buy-Now-Pay-Later

Deniz Aydın Olin Business School Washington University in St. Louis.



Introduction

- Fintech revolution
 - Innovative approaches to payments and risk management.
 - Tremendous growth in e-Wallets and BNPL
 - Unfortunately, these innovative data sources are not accessible to academics
 - e.g., Data Security Law (2021)
- Important questions and challenges
 - Does it expand access?
 - Is it safe? Does it lead to overspending and indebtedness?
 - What attracts borrowers to these plans?
 - Why are they 0% APR?



What Lin, Cong, and Ji Do

- Unique and innovative data
- Opening a lot of black boxes in e-Wallet and BNPL usage
- Randomized BNPL Supply Shock
- How BNPL interacts with other options and affects payment, spending, and borrowing behavior.
 - Complements bank credit.
 - Increases spending.
 - Benefit disadvantaged or underserved by banks.
 - Does not increase indebtedness or distress.
- Main Comment: Streamline and Re-organize
 - Give random BNPL supply shock the center stage.
 - Link BNPL specifics (underwriting, contracts, interest rates) to outcomes (e.g., indebtedness)



Outline for Discussion

- Helicopter Tour of BNPL
 - Transactional vs. Conventional Underwriting
 - Installment vs. Revolving Contracts
 - 0 %APR as a Special Price
 - Two-sided Markets
- Comments on
 - Identification
 - Estimation
 - Interpretation

*

What is BNPL

- How does the credit product differ from other ones that we usually know?
 - Short-term
 - Fixed payment schedule
 - Point-of-sale
 - Transaction level underwriting
 - 0% APR (in general)
- BNPL is not new
 - 1920s in the U.S.: Pandemic, Credit Expansion, Great Depression
 - Turkey, Israel, Brazil etc.: Usury-aversion



Ascent BNPL Survey

www.fool.com/the-ascent/research/buy-now-pay-later-statistics/

- 35% in U.S. use, down from 50% in 2022 and 56% in 2021.
 - 67%—think BNPL could replace credit cards
- 37%—to avoid interest (borrow low save high)
- 45%—otherwise wouldn't fit within their budget
- 13% because they couldn't get approved for credit cards
- 13% because credit cards were maxed out
- Categories: 46% Electronics, 46% Clothing, 31% Furniture and Appliances



How is BNPL different? BNPL is *Transactional* Underwriting

- Traditional underwriting
 - individual-level scores, histories, and metrics
 - FICO is for an individual, irrespective of purchase
- Transactional underwriting—each transaction is individually analyzed.
 - E[Y|X], where Y, X, E[] all different.
 - Variables used:
 - What you buy
 - When you buy
 - Other footprints



Nukala (WP) "Buy-Now, Pay-Later and Purchase-level Underwriting"

- Vasudha's (WashU Olin) Job Market Paper (she is on the market this year) <u>www.vasudhanukala.com/research</u>
- Unique dataset from a U.S.-based BNPL firm.
 - Does transaction-level underwriting improve classification accuracy?
 - What is the source of the information in the transaction?
 - How does supply change, and for whom?
 - What are the effects on consumer welfare?



Nukala (WP) "Buy-Now, Pay-Later and Purchase-level Underwriting" What is the source of the information in the transaction?

- What did you buy? —Vissing-Jorgensen
- Digital footprints? —Berg, Burg, Gombovic, and Puri



• Transactional underwriting contributes to improved performance and lack of indebtedness.

*

How is BNPL different? Preplanned (Installments)

- Revolving debt is accumulated through dynamic choice.
 - credit card = BN-think-you-will-PL
 - but underestimate they will revolve end-of-billing cycle
 - <u>naivete about dynamic inconsistency</u>
- Installments preclude the opportunity to revise choices with the eventuality of the latter information set.
 - on the contrary, consumers forgo repayment flexibility.
 - it's (like) a commitment device
- (I think) installments are a better 'safer' contract and contribute to a lack of indebtedness.



What attracts borrowers to BNPL? 0% APR

- The paper studies debt choices from a menu of (cheap and expensive) options.
- In a financing hierarchy in which the cost of financing is minimized
 - Borrow 0% BNPL and
 - do not use expensive debt
 - do not use debit or cash
- There are short-run (static) and long-run (dynamic) financing hierarchy tests that could be conducted with this data.
 - In the long-run, all debt should be BNPL.
- Digression—We provide such tests for SMEs in a working paper with Olivia Kim (HBS).



Aydın and Kim (WP) "Finance and Investment" First-stage (Capacity) and Intent-to-treat (Debt) Effects





Aydın and Kim (WP) "Finance and Investment" **Debt Structure (Term vs. Revolving) Dynamics**



In the long run, debt response with cheap term loans, directed to investment.



Comment—Identification Randomization, Instrument, Empirical Specification

- Experiments can be constructed to randomize various aspects.
 - Who? How much? When?
- This paper:
 - <u>Treatment</u> group offered BNPL and was notified <u>immediately.</u>
 - <u>Control</u> group offered BNPL two months later <u>2 months later</u>.
- What is random is timing (postponing), not whether or how much.
 - Why not construct dynamic empirical specifications to use this feature?
 - Similar to Aydin (2022); Parker et al. (2013) stimulus study
 - When stimulus payment is received depends on the last two digits of the SSN



Aydın (2023) AER Consumption Response to Credit Expansions





Final Words

- Streamline and re-organize
 - Give random BNPL supply shock the center stage.
 - Link BNPL specifics (underwriting, contracts, interest rates) to outcomes (e.g., indebtedness)
- Other Comments
 - What do borrowers use this credit on (merchants)?
 - What are the specifics of this setting that make users carefully moderate their borrowing and spending?
 - How does pricing of rates and fees work in two-sided markets?
 - What other features attract borrowers to these plans? (e.g., budgeting)
 - How do default and bankruptcy work in China?



Thank you!