Discussion: Innovation Networks and R&D Allocation Ernest Liu and Song Ma

Elvira Sojli

UNSW Sydney

Transistor and IC chips



Thin Film Oxidization Process - Foundational



Why We Care?

- Industrial policy has two effects
 - Direct on the entities that receive subsidies
 - Indirect innovation spillovers central to growth: amplify returns to R&D, drive technological progress, and promote productivity gains

Why We Care?

- Industrial policy has two effects
 - Direct on the entities that receive subsidies
 - Indirect innovation spillovers central to growth: amplify returns to R&D, drive technological progress, and promote productivity gains
- We use industrial policy not for direct effects to recipient firm, but to harness indirect effects
- We confer patent (excludability) rights to incentivise inventors to disclose their technology to generate spillovers

Key Contributions

- This paper is natural step in the innovation literature
 - No industry exists in isolation, many firms straddle multiple industries
 - Central sectors are critical nodes amplifying spillovers and innovation impact
- Understanding network centrality essential for targeted policy and effective resource allocation

Key Contributions

- This paper is natural step in the innovation literature
 - No industry exists in isolation, many firms straddle multiple industries
 - Central sectors are critical nodes amplifying spillovers and innovation impact
- Understanding network centrality essential for targeted policy and effective resource allocation
- Excellent paper with rigorous theoretical and empirical integration
- Innovative network perspective: differentiates explicit sectoral spillovers vs. traditional aggregate spillovers
- Meticulous patent citation network construction over 40 million patents to measure sector centrality

Great data work, clearly a labor of love!

The Model

The Model

- Traditional endogenous growth models (e.g., Romer '90, Aghion & Howitt '92) knowledge spillovers are uniform (benefit all sectors symmetrically) here *spillovers explicitly depend on which sector produces knowledge and which sectors directly benefit (not homogeneous)*
 - \longrightarrow where R&D is allocated is crucial
- This differentiation is even more important in international context where industrial policy should depend on technological reliance

Interpretation and Implications

- Clearly shows that sector centrality is crucial—targeted R&D investments outperform generic subsidies
- Optimal policy based on explicit network metrics (innovation centrality) is persuasive
- Results align with insights from Tham et al. (2024): Foundational processes drive sustained innovation by creating "process-driven products"

Questions on first paper

- What defines sector centrality?
 - Are sectors central due to intrinsic innovation dynamics or because investments and firm capabilities?
 - Is it more about knowledge intensity or market structure?
 - Role of firms in leveraging central sectors (large est. firms vs. small, agile innovators)?
- Role of foundational processes as more general purpose technology
 - Could the innovation centrality measure explicitly capture foundational vs. incremental processes?
- Propagation
 - How does the knowledge propagate?
 - Human capital?
 - How long does it take?

Knowledge spillover measure

- Citations notoriously flimsy, esp. internationally
 - More than 50% of patents don't have citations
 - Kept to bare minimum due to licensing considerations
 - Strategic to draw the 'right' examiner
- What to do?
 - Patent similarity providers richer links
 - Non-primary IPC class pairs

Knowledge spillover measure

- Citations notoriously flimsy, esp. internationally
 - $\bullet\,$ More than 50% of patents don't have citations
 - Kept to bare minimum due to licensing considerations
 - Strategic to draw the 'right' examiner
- What to do?
 - Patent similarity providers richer links
 - Non-primary IPC class pairs
- Incorporate economic value of citations:
 - Use market-based valuation of patents (e.g., Kogan et al., 2017)
 - Weight spillovers by economic rather than purely citation-based significance
- Temporal dynamics of spillovers:
 - Incorporate timing explicitly yearly non static citations
 - Decaying spillover intensity over time?

Et voila ... second paper



Et voila ... second paper

- Takes a step back at understanding the role of basic science in invention (patenting and technology network)
- A equally important question looking at role of knowledge intensity in defining sector centrality
- Prior work has linked patents to publications (Marx and Fuegi, 2021; Marx and Scharfmann, 2024) the network mapping here is thorougher and dynamic

Knowledge diffusion measure

- How to do it?
 - ✓ Scientific publications patent similarity TFIDF (Hoberg-Phillips '16, Kelly et al. '21)

Removing previous (t-1) overlap between fields

✓ Benchmark with NPL citations and IPC class pairs

Knowledge diffusion measure

- How to do it?
 - ✓ Scientific publications patent similarity TFIDF (Hoberg-Phillips '16, Kelly et al. '21)

Removing previous (t-1) overlap between fields

- $\checkmark\,$ Benchmark with NPL citations and IPC class pairs
- ✓ Temporal dynamics of spillovers:
 - Incorporate lead-lag effects in diffusion of scientific ideas
 - Q Is there a role for reverse effects?
 Existence of applicability of an abstract scientific idea opens way to more scientific publications in the area
 - ${\it Q}$ Very slowly propagating ideas? Yizhou's examples on Monday

Open (hard) questions

- What is the optimal allocation of R&D resources? Basic science vs. innovation split
- What is the right timing of investment in each?
- Who should fund basic science? Google vs. OpenAI gave us GPT

Conclusion

- Valuable contribution with significant implications for policy and firm strategy
- Network approach important insights for R&D allocations across science and invention
- Raises critical questions about invention dynamics, especially in the context of rapidly evolving technological frontiers

Very insightful and important work—look forward to reading the paper!